

From: [frank wesonga](#)
To: [UNEP-SPP Chemicals-Waste-Pollution Prevention](#)
Subject: COMMENTS ON DOCUMENTS FOR OEWG 3.2
Date: 25 April 2025 09:20:42

My written comments on the following working documents for OEWG 3.2 is as follows
NO -1 The proposed structure of the panel particularly regarding its scope and functions needs future clarity and to ensure the panel can Effectively address the complex interlinkages between chemical waste ,pollution and that is not overly focussed on one area at the expense of others.

NO -2 Funding mechanism should be reviewed to ensure its adequacy and sustainability. The panel has enough resources to carry out its mandate effectively including for Research , Data collection and outreach.

NO -3 The engagement of stakeholders particularly Scientists and Researchers should be a priority. And the panel to establish a clear mechanism for incorporating scientists' evidence and expertise into its work.

NO - 4 We should not have ascope of functions that appears too broad and lacks sufficient focus. I suggest we narrow the focus to specific high priority areas, such as persistent organic pollutants and microplastic to ensure the panel can deliver tangible results .

NO -5 The voting mechanism should not raise concerns about the representation of developing countries and other vulnerable populations. We need to have a more equitable system that reflects the diversity of stakeholders and their interest..

NO -6 The work plan should not be too ambitious and lacks a realistic timeline .I suggest we should prioritise key activities and break them down into smaller manageable steps and lastly we should propose adding a new function to the panels mandate which would monitor and assess the impact of climate change on chemical and waste management

ONLY THAT
THANK YOU

STAKEHOLDERS NAME FRANK WESONGA OMUKUYIA
ORGANISATION -ALL AFRICAN CONFERENCE OF CHURCHES